G. R. S. 59]

GPB—15,000—22-7-14

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1905-06
~~191~~ 1 .
(Madras)

File No. 488

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

Passing orders on the complaints of certain candidates for the Sanskrit Vidwat Examination held in September 1905.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES. LATER REFERENCES.

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

OFFICE NOTE.

File N

SUBJECT.

Sanskrit Vidwat Examinations held in September 1905

From

The President, Sanskrit College Committee, Mysore -

No. S 526 Dated 6th October 1905

The President of His Highness the Maharaja's Sanskrit College Committee submits the resolutions of the Committee, relative to the Vidwat Examination held this year.

A

2. From the resolutions No I it is observed that out of 32 candidates that appeared for the Vidwat Examinations, 18 came out successful and that a sum of Rs 15-5-0 is propos

to be given to them as rewards,
as per statement marked B.

3. A complaint was received
on the 5th instant from one Gopala
Narasimhachar, one of the candidates
that appeared for the Examination
in Vyakaranam Sastra, wherein he
stated that, in the case of two
candidates, viz, Messrs Krishnachar,
who appeared in Vyakaranam Sastra Part II
and Kittur Venkatanarayana
Joisa, who appeared in Jyotisha
Sastra, Parts I & II, the Committee allowed
some grace marks and declared
them to have passed. The petition
having been referred to the President
for report, that Officer states
in his letter no 6 dated 6th October 1905,
that as Messrs Krishnachar fail-

-stood-

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

short of the minimum in Siddhanti Kannada by a single mark, and as he had not fallen short of the required minimum on the whole, he was declared to have passed -

4 As regards Kuttur Venkata Ramana Gaisa the President says that, as the candidate obtained the minima required in each portion in parts I and II and as he also obtained the minimum required in the total marks on the whole, viz parts I & II having appeared for both the parts at one and the same time, he was also declared to have passed

5 The statement of marks obtained by the first-mentioned Candidate shows that, as explained by the President, he

Muzrai Secretariat | File No of 190

he fell short by one mark having obtained 51 instead of 52 out of ~~Rs~~ 130 in Siddhanti Kannada. The marks required to be obtained for a pass being 40 per cent in each subject and 50 per cent on the whole — the Committee's action may be approved.

D 5. From the statement showing the number of marks obtained by the other candidate viz Sri Kittur Venkatarangamma it is observed that he failed in part I having secured only 287 marks out of 625 in the total; and that he passed in part II. As the total marks obtained by him in both the parts together (500) which is one added the gets just the minimum required for a pass the maximum being (1000). The Committee have for this reason

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

declared him to have passed. This is the point complained of by the petitioner.

6. As regards the petitioner, who requests that the Commission should be shown to the two candidates in question may be extended to him also, it appears from the statement that he has failed not by one mark but by 26 marks - Nothing can therefore be done for him.

Submitted with a draft -

Ds
7/10/05

The second Resolution of the Committee is about Mr. Venkatramanasastri's pay, the establishment of English classes & do on. These points will be dealt with separately later on.

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

With reference to the person referred to in para 5 above, the Commissioner's decision to pass him would in my humble opinion, cause an inconvenient precedent and place others who pass the Examination in Parts in different years, at a disadvantage. I think therefore that the candidate should be declared to have passed only in Part II, for which alone he has obtained the necessary marks.

The point is not free from doubt and is submitted for orders. The draft will be altered, if required, in accordance with the orders passed.

8/10-05.

Submitted to the Dewan through the 2nd Y.

Chandrasekara 7/10/05

MGCPB—3500—15-10-01

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

The first-mentioned
pucka to accompany a pass
for merchandise must
may be given as
recommended & the
Committee. As regards
the 2nd mentioned agency
with the duty in
declaring them to have
passed only in Part III
the petty case & merchandise
does not deserve 7/10/05
any consideration

Note continued

7/10/05

9100/8.10.05

The draft approved
by the Board and the
Second Committee was
accordingly issued on the
4th October 1905, approving of
the Committee's action in
having declined Verma Krishnama

Govt no 1771-2
dated 4th Oct-
1905

E

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

to have passed, but declining to sanction their recommendation in the case of Kittur Venkatanarayana Gaja, the latter who was declared to have passed in Part II only and not in both the parts.

In the case of the petitioner Gangu Narasimhachar, who requested that some grace marks might be allowed to him, he was informed that this prayer could not be complied with -

Venkatanarayana Gaja has now submitted a petition to Government. No 1618 dated 10th October 1905.

It states that he was declared by the Committee to have passed in both the parts, taking the total marks into consideration in accordance with the usual practice. He quotes the recent case of one Lakshman Narayana Sastri as a precedent. This arrangement

MGCPB—3500—15-10-01

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

passed in the I Part of Sahitya
in the year Pava, and when he went up
for the II part next year, he obtain
the minimum required in each of its subjec
but not enough to secure a pass in that
part; and the Committee passed him on the
whole by totalling the marks obtained in both
the years; and the petitioner states that,
considering he appeared for both the parts
in Jyotisha in one and the same year, there
was no partiality shown to him in particular by the Comm
in having declared him passed Moreover,
he says that he had answered his papers
well enough, but that he has reason to
believe that his failure is due to some
ill feeling on the part of Pandit Gunja
(one of his examiners)
Jois towards him. In support of this state
ment, he says that the said Pandit who was
an examiner last year also, having valued
the answer papers very improperly, they were
re-valued by the Committee and the results were
published in accordance with the

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

marks obtained during the re-valuation.
If this state of things continues, the petitioner
says, it will damp the spirits of the large numbe
of students, like himself, undergoing a long
course of studies and hopeful of competing
in the Calcutta Vidwat Examinations. In conclusion,
he prays that his answer papers of the last
year may be sent for and re-valued, ~~and~~
~~by so doing, he expects he is confident~~
~~of his success~~.

Submitted for orders.

20/10/05 — Extract from the Resolution of the Committee at the meeting held on the 2nd June 1904, which has been sent up to Government for publication in the Mysore Gazette, runs as follows:—

Extract put up 9/11

"A candidate obtaining not less than 40 p.c. in each subject or group of subjects and not less than 50 p.c. of

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No of 190

"of the aggregate number of
"marks in each part of the
or Examination, shall pass".

Ps
20/10/05

Final orders have been already passed by Govt in regard to the Petn Venkataramana Jois. These cannot be now altered. But send the Petn ~~for report~~ to the President of the Committee for report on the points brought to notice in the Petn

22/10/05

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

V. s. continued

No. 2104, Dt 4th Novr. 1905.

As directed above, the petition of Venkatramana Jois was forwarded to the President of the Committee for a detailed report on the several points brought to notice by the petitioner

The President now reports that owing to disparity in the standard followed in regard to the Jyotisha papers set last year, it was found necessary to go over the answer papers in that subject in which the result fell much more below the average in other similar papers, and that this was done by the examiners assembled at a meeting held for that purpose, and that, to provide against a recurrence of such difficulties, a Board was appointed this year to revise the question papers, and that therefore everything went off successfully The President adds that every possible care is taken to select examiners, that all along they have deserved the trust reposed in them,

MGCPB—3,500—15-10-01

Muzrai Secretariat | File No of 190

and that therefore their honor should not be allowed to be impeached in the manner the present petitioner attempts to do

As regards the case of Saligram Narayana Sastri quoted by the petitioner in his favour, the Retd President says that it does in no way support his contention, for he says that Narayana Sastri got 685 marks out of 1,000, i.e very nearly 1st Class marks in Part I, and 359 out of 450 in part II which is much more than the minimum required for a pass, but that as he got 37 marks instead of 40 out of 100 in Sankhya and Yoga, he was declared to have passed in Part II with the remark

The candidate no 2 (Saligram Narayana Sastri) has failed in Sankhya by a few marks, but as he has done exceedingly well in the first part and in the other subjects of the second part, the Committee recommend that he may be passed."

Submitted for orders } The Committee

JS
18/12/05

MGCPB—3,500—15 10 01

Muz 8A]

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

themselves took up the responsibility of declaring him to have passed and no recommendation was submitted to Government on his behalf.

18/12/05

Please reply to the Petn that they Govt have made the needful enquiries and find no reason to re-consider the orders already passed ~~in his case~~, as regards his success in the Jyotishka Exam^n.

Send copy to the President of the committee.

Camp Yedehalli 21/12/05

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

College, Mysore, held on the 4th October 1905
and to solicit early orders thereon.

I have the honour to be.
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

M Ramakrishnaiah
for W B—
President.

Proceedings of a meeting of the Managing Committee of the Maharaja's Sanskrit College Mysore, held in the College, at 3 PM on Wednesday 4th October 1905

Present

Mr B Ramakrishna Rao
" A Mahadevasastri
" M Hiriyanna Mys, M A
" G Kasturi Rangangar, M A
Dharmadhikari Kuttevaravimhasastru
Sundarasastru

I Forty candidates applied for the Vidwat Examination this year thirty were examined and eighteen were successful as shewn in the statement A hereto annexed

Resolved that Certificates and prize be awarded to the successful candidates

as per statement B annexed

II Read Government Proceedings No 1653-6 Mmg 7 32-95 dated 27th September 1905 abolishing the appointment of the Principal of the Maharaja's Sanskrit College

Resolved that the thanks of the Managing Committee of H H the Maharaja's Sanskrit College be conveyed to the Government for relieving the College of the Principal's pay amounting to Rs 150 per month and that the Government be requested to place at the disposal of the College Committee this entire sum as well as Rs 50/- the present monthly Contribution from the College funds towards the pay of the Vedanta teacher and scholarships for the Vedanta Students in the Bangalore Sanskrit College The Committee propose to utilize this sum of Rs 200/- for the following purposes:-

1) Organising Classes to teach English to the students of the Mysore Sanskrit

which would seem absolutely necessary to post them up in History, Modern Science and allied subjects - so very essential to sound scholarship and fair ~~scale~~ onward path in life to the alumni in these days of ~~Progress~~ Progressive and Enlightenment. The absence of provision for such instruction has been very much felt

2) Employing two competent additional Sahitya teachers whose services are required to meet the wants of the Sahitya Classes

3). Meeting the additional demand for Scholarships to new students

4). raising the pay of some very deserving teachers in the College.

6th October 1905 (signed) B Ramakrishna
President

"True Copy"

B Ramakrishna
6/10/05
President

Very urgent

1749 Genl
6.10.1905

To

The President
Sanskrit College Committee
Mysore

Has the honour to forward herewith ~~two~~ ~~application~~ dated 5th instant from Gurya Narasimhachar, one of the candidates who ~~had~~ appeared for the recent Vidwat Examination in Vyakarana-Sastra.

The petitioner alleges ~~that~~ in the case of two candidates, that appeared for the Vidwat Examination in Vyakarana-

Jyotisha Lectures, some grace marks
were allowed by the Committee
while the Petr was not shown the same concession
to make them pass - Requests
to be informed ~~what~~ if
this allegation is true and
to furnish information as to
if so, ~~how many~~
the number of marks the
candidates had originally
obtained in each subject,
the number of marks
specially added on by the
Committee and ~~it~~ under
what authority the procedure
was adopted, and whether the Petrs has
reason for complaint —
As this matter has to be
decided before the results
are formally declared by Govt,
the Peons President is
requested to submit a report
immediately.

P.S.
5/10/05

5/10/05

No 1750
5-10-05

1905

From,

S Ramakrishna Rao Esq
President Managing Committee
Maharaja's Sanskrit College
Mysore

To,

L Anantasamy Rao Esq
Mysore Secretary
Camp Mysore

Dated 6th October 1905
Despatched
Received.

No 6

Encl 3

Sir,

With reference to your letter No 1749 dated 6th October c. forwarding the enclosed petition of Yoga Narasimhachar, I have the honour to submit herewith two statements showing the marks obtained by the two Vyakarana Candidates =

Rec'd
5-45 pm.
RS
6/10/05

two Jyotisha Candidates under reference. From these statements it will be seen that Yoga Narasimhachar, one of the Vyakarana Candidates, totally failed in the Siddhânta-Kaumudi and was moreover quite unable to make up his deficiency in the total marks otherwise, the minima under these heads being 40 and 50 per cent respectively, whereas Krishnachar in Siddhanta Kaumudi fell short of the minimum by just a single mark and has not fallen short of the required minimum on the whole. So he has been declared to have passed the examination in Part I. the Committee being quite satisfied with his proficiency. Nor is this in any way unprecedented. As regards the two Jyotisha candidates in question, it will be seen that Seshachar has passed without the need of any Concession being shewn, while Kittur Venkatâramana Jois has obtained the minima required under the Sâshological and Mathematical portions in parts I and II and obtained the minimum required in the total marks on the whole, having appeared for both the parts at one and the same time. Hence he has been declared passed.

I have the honor to be,

sir,

your most obedient Servant

M Ramakrishnaiah

President

Vyakarana Part-I

No.	Name	Siddhanta Kaumudi (Written)	Manorama Sabdakanda (do)	Sabda Kaustubha (do)	Paribhashendusekhara (do)	Sabdendusekhara I (do)	do II do	do III do	Viva-Voce: Siddhanta Kaumudi	Sabdaratna Manorama	Paribhashendusekhara	Sabdendusekhara	Groups: Siddhanta Kaumudi	Bhashanuvada	Navinanvaya	Total	In what Class Passed.	
	Maximum.	100	100	60	60	60	60	60	30	30	15	50	130	190	305	620		
	Krishnachar, Neran	33	68	48	19	49	34	15	18	24	13	38	51	140	168	359	II	Declared passed
	Narasimhachar, yoga	8	54	33	6	31	32	12	18	15	10	28	26	102	119	247	Failed.	

RAS 6/10/09

Jyotisha

Name	Lilavati (Written)	Bijaganita (do)	Goladyaya (do)	Brihat Katha (do)	Mathematics (do)	Astrology (do)	Total in Part I	Siksha (Written)	Vakyanadhikara (do)	Brihatsamhita (do)	Grahaganita (do)	Pratibhagi (do)	Viva-Voce	Siksha Vidyanadhikara Brihatsamhita naka anda	Viva-Voce	Grand Total	Astrology	Mathematics	Total in Part II	Total in Parts I & II	In what Class have
Maximum	80	80	120	15	70	40	20	20	45	60	265	625	70	70	60	60	40	20	20	10	20	5	250	125	375	1	
Venkatāramana Joisa Kittur	39	23	57	65	30	20	13	13	27	165	122	287	32	46	34	28	20	11	16	8	15	3	47	66	213	500	II
Seshachar, ~~Kittur~~ Kanur								(321/540) =				381	18	49	24	28	18	8	16	8	17	4	123	67	190	571	II

declared passed,

6/10/15

Mysore

Read :-

Letter no 5 dated 6th October 1905 from the President of His Highness the Maharaja's Sanskrit College Committee, Mysore, submitting the resolutions of the Committee held on ~~confirmation~~ the 4th October 1905, relative to the results of the Vidwat Examinations held this year —

Mysore 9.X.05

Read add a para that this report is therefore sent earlier

(Added)

Letter dated 5th October 1905 from

Gyanga Narasimhachar

(2)

One of the candidates,

who appeared for the

Vidwat- Examination in

Vyakarana Sastra, alleging

~~[illegible]~~ certain irregularities on the part of the Comm

in declaring the results

of the Examinations.

(iii)
And Letter no

6 dated 6th October 1905, from the President, Mysore College Committee, submitting a report

on the above —

~~~

Order: — No. 1771-2 of 9/10 05.

It is observed

that out of the 40 candidates

who applied for the

Vidwat- Examination

in its various branches

this year, 8 absented

themselves
~~~

themselves from the Examination, 14 failed and 18 passed.

2. Of the 18 candidates who are declared by the Committee to have passed, it appears from the statements submitted by the President, that, in the case of one candidate viz Narain Krishnaji - dalé who appeared in Vyakarana Sastra Part- I, and who fell short of the minimum in one subject by a single mark, the Committee have declared him to have passed as he has

more than the minimum
number of marks on
the whole - The Committee's
action is approved -

3. In the case of the
other candidate viz
Kittur Venkataramana
Jaina, who appeared
in Jyotisha Shastra
parts I & II, the statement
shows that he passed
in part- II but has
failed in part- I, not-
having secured the
minimum in the total
of the latter. But the
Committee recommend
have declared
him as passed in both the parts and have recommended
~~him for a pass in both~~
him and for a reward
~~the parts~~ on the ground

he obtained the minimum in the total marks of the parts I & II but together, and must be approved for both the parts in one and the same year.

4. On a careful consideration of the subject, the Government are of opinion that the Committee's recommendation in this particular instance would be an inconvenient procedure because it would give room to the other candidates who have

in one part in previous
years but failed
in the other part this year,
to claim the total marks
of the previous year
being awarded on those
of this year - They are
therefore pleased to direct-
that the said Kittur
Venkatramanna Gowda
is declared to have passed
in part II only and
not in both the parts -
His reward will accordingly
be reduced to Rs 40
and a total reward
sanctioned for disbursement as rewards,
~~Rs 1465~~, as per statement appended -

As regards the
petitioner

Petitioner Gyaga Narasimha-
-char who requests
that some grace marks
may be allowed to him
as was done in the case
of the other candidate,
(Sriram Kishnacharan),
the Board observe that
he totally failed in
Siddhanta Kaumudi
by several marks,
as well as in total
marks - His prayer
cannot therefore be
complied with - He
will be informed accordi-

6. It is observed that
the Report of the Committee was
received on the 6th instant. It
should have been submitted
D. D. C.

Dft.

Secretary

7/10/05

Musamri Dept.

The President,

Lunsford-College

Committee, report

The Comptroller to Govt-

1773 D/9.10.05.

Endorsement to petition